

Alternative Delivery & Public-Private Partnerships PFM-Client Industry Discussion

May 2024

PFM Financial Advisors LLC

40 Wall Street 49th Floor New York, NY 10005 212.809.4212

pfm.com



Thank you for joining us!

Friendly Reminders

- This Session is Being Recorded
- Automatically Muted on Entry
 - · You are automatically muted when you join the call
 - Please unmute yourself to participate
 - Minimize Background Noise re-mute when you are done speaking
- CPE Credits Available for This Session
 - · Attend this session in its entirety
 - Answer all poll questions provided
 - Survey <u>must</u> be completed
- Please Complete the Survey for This Session
- Send specific questions for me to simmonsc@pfm.com



Agenda

Topics

Overview of Alternative Delivery / P3 Projects

Public Private Partnerships

P3 Delivery & Financing Methods

Private Market Development

Investor Commentary

PFM Approach to Alternative Delivery Projects

Objectives

Understanding of the various types of Alternative Delivery / P3 projects

- Particular P3 appropriateness and feasibility
- 3 AD & P3s financing mechanics



Overview of Alternative Delivery / P3 Projects



What is a "P3"

- A "P3" is defined by the World Bank as "a long-term contract between a private party and a government agency, for providing a public asset or service, in which the private party bears significant risk and management responsibility"
- The term P3 is used to describe projects that vary widely in their financial and governance structures, investor base, technical complexity and impact to the host institutions
- Goal simplify the project so the University can evaluate it in a transparent manner and determine how best to
 engage the private sector to achieve your goals
 - Governance
 - Design & Delivery
 - Operations
 - Finance



Finance and Delivery Options

Public (Tax-Exempt) Debt

- Financing mechanism
- Public owner finances the project and separately procures and manages project

Category	Credit Example
Full Faith & Credit	General Obligation(Unlimited tax)
Tax-Backed /	 Road/Highway
Revenue	Revenue Sales Tax
Non-Recourse,	 Standalone Toll
Project	Revenue (No tax pledge or govt.
Finance	obligation)

Public-Private Partnership (P3)

- Financing <u>AND</u> delivery mechanism
- Public owner manages an integrated procurement with greater private responsibility of management and risk





Strategies to Leverage the Private Sector

- Municipal entities and nonprofits have leveraged the private sector to accomplish a variety of goals and objectives
 - Ownership and finance structures should be tailored to reflect the value proposition of the partnership
- To the extent the goal is to develop or monetize an asset in order to improve balance sheet / credit capacity, the asset must:
 - Be a commercially viable project on a stand-alone basis
 - Not be a performing asset of the grantor



© PFM



P3 Overview

Key Benefits

- Greater opportunity for innovation and risk transfer to private sector
- Whole-life costing (alignment of capital and operational costs)
- Cost certainty (private sector responsible for over-runs)
- Scope and change order control
- Turnkey management of complex construction and operations

Key Considerations

- New delivery method with less control and prescription
- More complicated procurement
- Higher cost of capital
- Long-term contract management
- Must provide value versus conventional delivery

P3 Structures Are Highly Customizable

- Design-Build-Finance (DBF)
- Design-Build-Finance-Operate-Maintain (DBFOM)
 - Availability Payment DBFOM
 - Toll Concession DBFOM



Examples of Alternative Delivery / P3 Financing Structures

	_	Example Assets	Description	Accounting / Credit
Financing secured by credit strength of institution	Lease	 Academic buildings Office space 	 Financing secured by fixed lease obligations made by institution 	 On balance sheet and on credit
	Availability Payments	 Utility concessions Bundling of assets (K- 12 schools, bridges) Mass transit 	 Payments made to private party <u>based</u> on the underlying asset's availability for <u>use</u> Multiple components to payment 	 Financial consideration and/or new capital assets on balance sheet and on credit
Financing secured by project revenue	Demand Risk P3s	 Student housing Parking Toll roads Dining contracts 	 Contract where an operator provides <u>public services</u> through the use and operation of an underlying asset Private party is compensated by <u>third party fees</u> 	 FASB: Accounting treatment varies GASB 94: Deferred inflow of resources on balance sheet Credit treatment varies, but generally on credit
	Market Development	Commercial office / labHotelsMarket rate housing	 Arms-length market rate ground lease for private development 	 Potentially off-balance sheet and off credit



Procurement for Development of New Capital Asset





Misconceptions about AD/P3s

• Despite increasing visibility in the U.S., many misconceptions remain about P3s

Misconception	Realities
 P3s can provide "free money" to close funding gaps for projects 	 Private financing partners require some mechanism for repayment and return on investment
 Cost of borrowing is always most significant value driver 	 Cost of capital is one consideration in project's total value, along with lifecycle costs, risk-sharing, value engineering opportunities, etc.
 P3s give away government oversight and allow private sector free reign to raise rates 	Detailed project agreements preserve government oversight or define limitations on rate increases
 P3s are hostile to public-sector unions 	 Successful P3s have been structured both with and without continuing union labor contracts
 Profit motives for the private sector make P3 delivery more expensive 	 Private sector already profits through construction contracts. AD/P3s provide for design innovation to lower overall costs



Poll Question



Poll Question

 Only projects that generate revenues such as tolls, fares or other user fees can utilize P3 structures.





Credit Rating and Accounting Treatment



Credit and Balance Sheet Discussion

- Security pledge determines balance sheet implications for the Owner/State
- True project finance (both public and P3) is structured with NO State backing or obligation
 - Project finance relies solely on the pledged revenues of the underlying asset
 - Debt holders assume risk of default if project revenues are insufficient
 - Project finance is typically rated at the lower end of investment grade spectrum
 - BBB category for start-up toll projects
- Most public toll systems are non-recourse (not on balance sheet) of the Owner/State
- For P3, only Toll Concessions do not carry a revenue pledge from Owner/State sources

Rating Agency View State Debt / Balance Sheet ?			
Public Debt Options		P3 Financing	
Full Faith & Credit GO	Yes Yes	Design-Build Finance	
Tax-Backed Revenue Bonds	Yes Yes	Availability Payment DBFOM	
Non-Recourse, Project Finance	No No	Toll Concession DBFOM	



Credit Impact of Alternative Delivery Projects – Higher Ed Example

Moody's Approach for Higher Education Institutions¹

- Moody's typically includes the capital raise for a P3 (debt and equity) as total adjusted debt of the host institution regardless of balance sheet treatment
- Moody's definition of a P3
 - 1. Project is primarily intended for use by university constituents;
 - 2. Project is located on land owned by the university and falls under a long-term contract;
 - 3. Ownership of the project reverts to the university at the conclusion of the contractual agreement.

Standard & Poor's Proposed Approach for Higher Education Institutions²

- S&P's proposed approach is more subjective than Moody's
- "Nonobligated debt is typically not a direct obligation of the provider. This debt does not appear on the provider's balance sheet, but we may still consider it a liability of the provider."
- Total debt:
 - "Debt includes any obligations that we consider debt-like, when revenues of the education provider are intended to service it,"
 - "Off-balance sheet debt is a financing option used by many universities. Depending on our assessment of the level of involvement of the sponsoring education provider and its economic interest, control, and connectivity to these projects, we may include this debt as indirect education provider debt."



Accounting Treatment for Alternative Delivery Projects *

- · Accounting standards have been modified in recent years to increase transparency in financial reporting
- Lease accounting has been modified by both FASB (ASC 842) and GASB (87) to incorporate lease obligations that are longer than 12 months as liabilities on the balance sheet
- GASB (94) has provided guidance specific to public-private partnerships and availability payment arrangements
- The accounting treatment of project financed assets under FASB can be subjective and inconsistent between auditors
- General guidelines in order to structure a ground lease that is not incorporated as a long-term liability:
 - Institutional support for project: no guarantee provided by institution, financial or otherwise
 - Control: Subjective analysis on the level of control the institution may maintain over the asset
 - Compensation: No guarantee of net cash flow back to the institution
 - Accounting treatment of assets for book and tax purposes:
 - Improvements recognized by the SPE if the useful of improvements are less than the term of the lease
 - o Existing assets stay with the institution
 - Sale: No sale of property / transfer of title



Poll Question



Poll Question

 Which description best describes the treatment of alternative delivery / P3 financing?

- A. Never on credit
- B. Never on balance sheet
- C. Always on credit or balance sheet
- D. Dependent on specific deal terms



P3 Delivery & Financing Methods



Project revenue: availability payments vs. user fees

- Private investors recoup their initial investment in a P3 project via rights to the income produced over a defined period of time
- There are two high-level ways for a project to generate income:
 - User fees: Money paid directly by the consumers of a service, such as highway tolls, utility bills, transit fares or student housing rent
 - Availability payments: Periodic money that the government commits to pay to support an asset that does not generate fee revenue, such as a courthouse, academic building or non-tolled highway; payment is based on a specified performance level
- Hybrid models with a mix of both approaches also exist





Overview of DBFOM Structures

Availability Payment Transaction

- Private sector receives annual payments based on "availability" and meeting operating requirements (subject to deductions for non-compliance)
- Revenue repayment is tied to a broad pledge of public funds (State Transportation Trust Fund)
- Project can be tolled, but doesn't have to be
 - Tolls can offset part of annual payment
- Primary risk is government appropriation



For illustrative purposes



Revenue Risk Concession

- Private sector retains toll revenues as compensation
- Also called a "revenue" or "demand" concession
- Private sector bears project revenue risk (tolls)
- Typically requires a public subsidy to cover a portion of upfront capital costs



Availability Payment Arrangements Overview

- Financing structure under which the public partner makes a present annual payment to the private partner for the use of the financed asset
 - Public partner is only required to make the full annual payment if the asset is maintained to certain negotiated operating standards





Equity plays distinctive role in financing stack by providing both debt coverage and upfront proceeds

80% debt / 20% equity (leveraged equity)



- Equity leverages "at risk" cashflows to reduce required borrowing (project cost and reserves) •
 - Excess cash flow after debt service goes to the developer as a return on equity
- Equity provides additional flexibility for developers to reduce contingencies and other project • costs

10.2%

7.3%

Equity IRR

WACC (pretax)



Toll Project Financing Structure



P3 Toll Concession

- Equity plays distinctive role in financing stack by providing "skin in the game"
- Upfront contribution and debt coverage
- Equity leverages "at risk" cashflows and requires a return on investment (typical IRR of 10-14%)

Public Toll Debt

- Coverage provides excess revenues for the public owner
- Public can "monetize" excess revenues with subordinate lien that is guaranteed by taxes or issued as unrated debt



P3 Project Financing Simplified Overview of Flow of Funds

- Demand risk P3 projects primarily leverage third party fees to support the operations of the asset and investor return requirements
- Private party compensation:
 - Developer: fixed fees paid out of capital raise
 - Property Manager: fees paid as operating expenses (a portion potentially subordinate)
 - Investors: repayment of debt service, or equity returns
- Institution compensation:
 - Debt financing: net operating income
 - Equity financing: fixed ground rent
 - Both alternatives: reimbursement of institution retained services to support project as an operating expense





Poll Question



Poll Question

- Which of these is NOT an example of a P3?
 - A. Long-term concession agreement of an airport terminal
 - B. Management contract for operating a water supply system
 - C. Design-bid-build of a primary school
 - D. Highway financed using milestone and availability payments



Examples



The Ohio State University's CEMP – Accounting Treatment

- Cash and temporary investments: increase includes upfront proceeds from the energy agreement held for future capital projects
- 2. Long-term investment pool: increase due to investment of \$820 million of the upfront proceeds from the energy agreement
- Advance from concessionaire: upfront payment reported as noncurrent liability and is amortized as a reduction to operating expense on a straight-line basis over the term of the 50-year agreement
- Capital investments in the utility system will be recognized as capital assets and a related long-term payable to the concessionaire
- Utility Fees:
 - $_{\odot}$ Fixed Fee: \$45mm annually growing at 1.5%
 - \circ O&M Fee
 - Capped fee: controllable expenses
 - Uncapped fee: extraordinary direct costs, subject to University approval
 - $_{\odot}$ Variable fee: future capital investments, to be funded by 50/50 debt/equity split
- The University will continue to buy energy sources directly from providers

Summary Statement of Net Position (in thousands)	2018	2017
1) Cash and temporary investments	\$ 3,023,554	\$ 2,230,609
Receivables, inventories, prepaids and other current assets	845,332	757,389
Total current assets	3,868,886	2,987,998
Restricted cash	564,656	666,032
Noncurrent notes and pledges receivable, net	112,019	108,073
2 Long-term investment pool	5,211,434	4,253,459
Other long-term investments	163,946	143,638
Capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation	5,043,222	4,883,584
Total noncurrent assets	11,095,277	10,054,786
Total assets	14,964,163	13,042,784
Deferred outflows	737,903	1,012,937
Total assets and deferred outflows	\$ 15,702,066	\$ 14,055,721
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 579,363	\$ 524,754
Deposits and advance payments for goods and services	274,401	223,880
Current portion of bonds, notes and lease obligations	640,589	651,984
Other current liabilities	105,021	87,708
Total current liabilities	1,599,374	1,488,326
Noncurrent portion of bonds, notes and lease obligations	2,582,017	2,640,142
Net pension liability	2,548,009	3,565,362
Net other post-employment benefits liability	1,249,521	-
3 Advance from concessionaire	1,046,342	-
Other noncurrent liabilities	366,344	366,057
Total noncurrent liabilities	7,792,233	6,571,561
Total liabilities	9,391,607	8,059,887
Deferred inflows	972,224	484,007
Net investment in capital assets	2,376,795	2,259,207
Negevinendelle	1 551 070	1 472 074
Funcedable	1,001,278	1,4/3,0/4
Expendable	1,328,793	1,190,162
omesaidlea	61,309	068,384
Total net position	5,338,235	5,511,827

15,702,066

s

Source: The Ohio State University audited financial statements for FY18

Total liabilities, deferred inflows and net position

14,055,721



George Mason University – Fuse at Mason Square

	– Located on land owned by University in Arlington, VA				
	 Ecosystem of faculty, students, and private sector and community partners that will accelerate innovation 				
Project	$_{\odot}$ Academic Space: Classroom, lab and office space utilized	 Academic Space: Classroom, lab and office space utilized by the University 			
1 10,000	 Commercial Space: Office, lab and retail space utilize programming 	ed by private entities aligned with the University's technology			
Finance / Ownership Goals	 Mitigate impact to University balance sheet / credit capacity Transfer lease-up risk for Commercial Space to private partr Achieve efficient cost of capital for Academic Space Participate in financial considerations based on annual performance 	er rmance and upon capital event			
	 Long-term ground lease to private development partner 	 University enters into sub-lease for Academic Space that is co-terminus with the ground lease 			
	 Developer responsibilities 	 University responsibilities 			
Proposed	Deliver the Project on-time and on-budget	Raise capital for development costs (approximately			
Structure	 Raise capital for the Commercial Space (approximately \$77 million) 	\$156 million split b/n University and Commonwealth)Pay annual costs of operations			
	At risk for lease-up and financial performance of Commercial Space				
	 Operations and maintenance of entire Project to agreed upon standards 				
	The case studies provided in this presentation are for informational	I purposes only and do not represent an endorsement or testimonial by clients of			
© PFM	circumstances and market conditions at the time of the transaction Financial Advisors LLC commenced its operations on June 1, 2016. A affiliate Public Financial Management, Inc.	as, and should not be viewed as a guarantee of future performance results. PFM All financial advisory engagements prior to that date were effected through former 31			



PFM Approach to Alternative Delivery Projects



PFM Approach to Alternative Delivery Projects

- PFM's AD/P3 project teams combine industry best practices with local knowledge of our clients
- Goal simplify the project so the client can evaluate the project in a transparent manner and determine how best to engage the private sector to achieve your goals
 - Governance
 - Design & Delivery
 - Operations
 - Finance
- Work with our clients to determine the most efficient project delivery method that accomplishes the institution's goals and objectives
 - We focus on the long-term best interest of our clients, not the development of an individual project
- We understand the nuanced details of these projects and have the ability to analyze their impact on the client's financials, credit, governance and mission as their trusted advisor





Keys to AD/P3 implementation

- Best practices for P3 create the conditions for successful outcomes (whether a transaction is executed or not)
- Understanding goals and objectives up front provides yardstick to measure value
 - Avoids "fishing expeditions" with ill-defined procurement instructions to private sector
- Maintaining a disciplined procurement schedule and competitive tension among potential bidders is critical to driving greatest value





"Three Legged Stool"

- A successful project will have to:
 - meet the financial and policy objectives of the governmental agency;
 - provide a compelling investment opportunity to attract potential investors; and;
 - provide sufficient credit strength to enable cost-effective financing.
- The PFM team's diverse background incorporates all these elements: public, developer and lender perspectives.
- 360 degree view: provides balance, strength, & stability.





PFM's project management approach

Analysis and valuation

Transaction development and execution

Program development	Feasibility and valuation	Market outreach and communication	Procurement design	RFQ process	RFP and selection
 Define project / transaction objectives Determine public interest to be served Establish financial framework Enterprise (user fee based) Availability (tax or appropriation supported) Hybrid (user fee and tax supported) 	 Build financial model Develop and evaluate alternative solutions Identify legal or legislative hurdles Identify stakeholder and constituent considerations Retain expert technical advisors as required Model best practices 	 Solicit input from investors and operators Gauge level of interest Identify risks Communications and education with stakeholders and constituents 	 Confirm transaction structure Lease Concession Design-Build Operate- Maintain Other Compare to tax exempt options Determine procurement process requirements Retain balance of transaction team Develop procurement schedule 	 Draft and distribute RFQ Develop shortlist of qualified bidders Initiate due diligence Confidentiality agreements Data room Meetings with bidders Determine requirements for final proposals or offers 	 Draft transaction documents Concession / lease Operating standards Design specifications Other One on one meeting with finalists Finalize transaction documents Release RFP or final bid submittal form Select finalist Close and transition



Higher Education Strategic Consulting

• PFM's Higher Education Alternative Delivery / P3 team leverages resources throughout the firm to advise clients on a wide variety of projects that are unrelated to traditional debt offerings



University of Idaho

GALLAUDET

Power Purchase Agreements

OF GEORGIA

Shippensburg University FOUNDATION



Examples of Alternative Delivery Projects in Transportation

			Example PFM Client
Concession	 Long term lease of operating asset Proceeds used to fund other governmental purposes 	 Concessionaire takes revenue risk and is obligated to fund operations, maintenance and hand-back 	Indiand Finance Automy
Availability	 Private party obligated to design and construct asset, and maintain the asset over a specified period. Compensated for project "availability" 	 Government controlled through deduction regimes 	CALCENTION Michigan Department of Transportation
Managed Lanes	 Newest toll road structures Tolled lanes alongside freeway Users pay for free flowing traffic 	 Concessionaire developments as well as publically managed projects 	
Airports and Seaports	 Car rental facilities, hotels, parking Terminal facilities for single or multiple airlines / shipping lines 	 Project financing or P3 	STL ST. LOUIS LAMBERT
Transit	 Outsourcing operations and maintenance Design-Build of major investments 	 Fare collection systems Transit oriented development 	

38



PFM Scope of Services

- General
 - Assess objectives and the related impact on the client's financial statements, governance, credit ratings and strategic mission
 - Review of industry best practices utilized for comparable projects
 - Development of cash flow models that can independently evaluate the quantitative aspects of the proposed project
 - Market sounding with potential investors to understand their desired structure and return objectives
 - Development and evaluation of optimal financial and ownership delivery structures for contemplated projects
 - Analyze feasibility, and potential impact, of monetization and/or alternative management of existing assets
 - Evaluate financing and ownership structuring options for large acquisition and joint ventures for both financial and credit impacts on the client
 - Develop decision matrixes and models that assess financial viability of large acquisition or partnership transactions
- · Project management of procurement and implementation
 - Advise on procurement strategy and procedures
 - Prepare and review procurement documentation
 - Assist in preparing due diligence for potential private partners
 - Assist client's evaluation committee in evaluation of bids, including financial diligence on bidders / proposed financing structures
 - Develop term sheet to memorialize roles and responsibilities of each party and understanding of major business positions
 - Advise in negotiations with private partner on all business terms contained in the operative legal agreements



Contact information:





Disclosures

PFM is the marketing name for a group of affiliated companies providing a range of services. All services are provided through separate agreements with each company. This material is for general information purposes only and is not intended to provide specific advice or a specific recommendation. PFM does not provide tax, legal or accounting advice.

Financial advisory services are provided by PFM Financial Advisors LLC, a registered municipal advisor with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board (MSRB) under the Dodd-Frank Act of 2010.

Swap advisory services are provided by PFM Swap Advisors LLC which is registered as a municipal advisor with both the MSRB and SEC under the Dodd-Frank Act of 2010, and as a commodity trading advisor with the Commodity Futures Trading Commission. Additional applicable regulatory information is available upon request.

Consulting services are provided through PFM Group Consulting LLC. PFM's financial modeling platform for strategic forecasting is provided through PFM Solutions LLC. A web-based platform for municipal bond information is provided through Munite LLC.

For more information regarding PFM's services or entities, please visit www.pfm.com.

Special disclaimer regarding the research and forecasts included in today's presentation: This research and any forecasts are based on current public information, as of the date of this presentation (or as of such date as may be specified in the presentation), that we consider reliable, but we do not represent it as accurate or complete, and it should not be relied on as such. The information, opinions, estimates and forecasts contained herein are also as of the date hereof and are subject to change without prior notification.

Case studies are provided for information purposes only and do not constitute specific advice or a recommendation. Opinions, results, and data presented are not indicative of future performance. Actual results may vary. Inclusion on this list does not represent endorsement of PFM's services.



